Determining fungal diversity on *Dendroctonus ponderosae* and *Ips pini* affecting lodgepole pine using cultural and molecular methods
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Several beetles (*Coleoptera: Scolytidae*) and their fungal associates cause severe damage to lodgepole pine in Western Canada and the Northwestern United States. The fungal diversity from the surface of two bark beetle species, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins (mountain pine beetle) and *Ips pini* Say (pine engraver), was surveyed using cultural and molecular methods. Nine fungal taxa were recognised by morphological characterizations. All nine taxa were isolated from the mountain pine beetle whereas only seven of the nine taxa were isolated from the pine engraver. The identification was based on cultural morphology and high sequence similarities of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and large subunit ribosomal DNA (LSU rDNA) region to sequences of known fungi. Fungal ITS regions were amplified from DNA directly extracted from the beetle surface. The PCR products were cloned and 250 clones were classified by their restriction pattern with *Hae*III and *Rsa*I. A total of 26 RFLP types were identified and subsequently sequenced. Among them, 15 RFLP types were identified as being present in mountain pine beetle and 14 were present in pine engraver. Sequence analysis of the RFLP types showed that 23 ascomycetes and 3 basidiomycetes were represented in the clone libraries, whereas the isolates from the cultural method represented 7 ascomycetes and 2 basidiomycetes. We found that yeast and non-staining filamentous Euascomycetes fungi were detected efficiently using a molecular approach, while the major sapstaining fungi and decay fungi were best detected using cultural methods.
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**Introduction**

Lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* Dougl. var. *latifolia* Engelm.) is an economically and ecologically important resource of Western Canada and the Northwestern United States. It is used in construction, for pulpwood, and for railway ties and poles. Several beetles (*Coleoptera: Scolytidae*) and their fungal
associates cause severe damage to lodgepole pine in Western Canada (Harrington and Cobb, 1988). *Dendroctonus ponderosae*, known as the mountain pine beetle, is a serious threat for mature lodgepole and several other pine species (Safranyik *et al.*, 1974). The mountain pine beetle possesses mycangia formed by invaginations of the exoskeleton that play a role in the dissemination of symbiotic fungi, *Ophiostoma clavigerum* (Rob.-Jeffr. & R.W. Davidson) T.C. Harr., *O. montium* (Rumbold) Arx and associated yeasts (Whitney and Farris, 1970). The occurrence of ascomycete yeast with bark beetles was reported many years ago. *Pichia pini* (Holst) Phaff, *P. capsulata* (Wick.) Kurtzman, and *P. holstii* (Wick.) Kurtzman are closely associated with the mountain pine beetle throughout its life cycle in lodgepole pine (Rumbold, 1941; Robinson, 1962).

*Ips pini*, known as the pine engraver, occurs trans-continentally across North America (Lanier, 1972) and colonises most species of pine within its range (Wood, 1982). The pine engraver frequently infests the trees being attacked by *Dendroctonus* species and, thereby, may accelerate the degradation of the tree. It does not possess glandular mycangia (Six, 2003), but it does transport several fungal species (Furniss *et al.*, 1995). Much is known about the mountain pine beetle, however, relatively little has been reported on fungi associated with pine engraver.

The isolation of fungi from bark beetles has relied extensively on the dilution plating (Juzwik and French, 1983; Klepzig *et al.*, 1991) and the direct beetle streaking methods (Six and Bentz, 2003). The failure of bacteria and fungi to grow under standard cultural conditions has been reported repeatedly; only 1% of bacteria and 5 to 10% of fungi have been described formally (Hawksworth, 1991; Pace, 1997). To circumvent the cultivation problem, an array of molecular techniques such as amplified rDNA restriction and ribosomal DNA (rDNA) sequencing analyses have been applied to elucidate microbial population structures in the environment (Smit *et al.*, 1999; Allen *et al.*, 2003). As cultivation-independent methods enable the detection of slowly growing or uncultivable fungi, it is likely that DNA extraction method gives a more complete view of fungal communities compared to traditional cultivation techniques. The wealth of sequence information that has been compiled in databases means that it is now possible to identify fungi at a far higher resolution using molecular techniques than can be achieved using cultural methods. Increased knowledge of the diversity surrounding the fungal community that beetles carry will further facilitate the understanding of beetle-fungal interactions.

The objective of this work was to compare the efficacy of the cultural and molecular methods to evaluate fungal diversity from the exoskeleton of two
bark beetles. This is the first time that DNA extraction method has been applied to fungal diversity in mountain pine beetle and pine engraver.

Materials and methods

Beetle material

In early June 2003 at Manning Park (British Columbia, Canada), the mountain pine beetle and the pine engraver were collected from galleries at the bottom regions of five lodgepole pines attacked by mountain pine beetle the previous year. Two groups of five mountain pine beetles and two groups of five pine engravers were taken from each of five trees and were placed in separate microtubes. Half of these groups were used for culture and the other half were used for direct DNA extraction. Samples were kept in plastic bags on ice, transported to the University of British Columbia, and held at 4°C until the next day.

Fungal isolation

Tween-20 wash solution (0.01%) was added to each 1.5 ml microtube that included one bark beetle. The tubes were vortexed for 3 minutes at maximum speed, and the insect wash was diluted 50 times. Subsequently, 20 µL of the 50 fold diluted insect wash solution was spread on (i) plates of 1% Oxoid malt extract agar (MEA) with ampicillin for the general fungal flora, and (ii) plates of 1% Oxoid MEA with benomyl/ampicillin (BMEA) to select for decay basidiomycetes. All plates were checked daily to prevent overgrowth of a dominant isolate. As different forms of fungi were observed they were transferred onto fresh plates to obtain pure cultures. The fungal isolates were grouped based on growth morphology on media and microscopic features. Species identification via classical methodology was achieved by macro- and micro-morphological analyses using taxonomic guides and standard procedures (Nobels, 1965; Stalpers, 1974; Kurtzman and Fell, 1998; Jacobs and Wingfield, 2001). This was complemented by identification of cultures using molecular methods.

DNA extraction and PCR amplification

Genomic DNA of each representative isolate was extracted from mycelium grown on media as described by Lecellier and Silar (1994). For direct DNA extraction from beetles surface, each tube consisting of five
mountain pine beetles or five pine engravers was resuspended in 700 µL of extraction buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl and 2% SDS]. The beetles were removed from tubes after vortexing for 10 minutes. After 1 hour incubation at 75ºC, glass beads (1/3 volume) were added to the tubes and vortexed again for 10 minutes. DNA was purified via a two step phenol-chloroform extraction and precipitated with one volume of isopropanol, then centrifuged immediately at 12,000 rpm at room temperature for 10 minutes. After removing the supernatant, the pellet was washed with 70% ethanol, allowed to air dry and resuspended in 40 µl of distilled water. The extracted DNA was stored at -20ºC until further use.

PCR amplification of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) from cultures was carried out using the ITS5 and ITS4 (White et al., 1990) primer sets. The large subunit (LSU) rDNA region was also amplified for four species of yeast, using the primer sets LR0R and LR3 (http://www.biology.duke.edu/fungi/mycolab/primer.htm). The LSU rDNA regions from only four yeast species were amplified for their identification. PCR amplification was performed as described by Lee et al. (2003). Amplified PCR products were directly sequenced after purification using Qiaquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Ontario).

For cloning, the ITS region was amplified from DNA extracted directly from the surface of the beetle bodies. All PCR reactions were performed in a PTC-100 thermal cycler (MJ research, USA) with the following cycling parameters: initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 minutes, then 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 50 seconds, annealing at 52°C for 40 seconds, extension at 72°C for 50 seconds, with a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. 3 µL of each PCR product was electrophoresed on 0.5% agarose gel containing EtBr in Tris-acetate EDTA (TAE) buffer. The PCR product sizes were determined by comparison to 1 kb DNA marker (GIBCO BRL, USA). To minimise PCR drift for cloning (Polz and Cavanaugh, 1998), 6 replicate amplicons were pooled, then concentrated and purified with Qiaquick PCR Purification Kit.

**Cloning and RFLP analysis**

Purified PCR products were cloned using TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen Inc. Burlington, ON, Canada) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Twenty-five white colonies from each library were selected and stored on agar plates. Selected clones were re-amplified using ITS 4 and ITS 5 primers. Amplified PCR products were digested with *HaeIII* and *RsaI*. Digestions were performed using 8 µL of PCR products, 1 µL of 10X reaction
buffer, and 1 µL of each enzyme. Restriction fragment patterns were visualised on a 2.5% agarose gel (w/v).

**Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis**

PCR products of isolates and each representative RFLP type were further purified and then sequenced. Sequencing was performed on an ABI 3700 automated sequencer (Perkin-Elmer Inc. USA) at the DNA synthesis and Sequencing Facility, MACROGEN (Seoul, Korea). ITS and LSU region sequences of isolates were used for identification. For the phylogenetic analysis, ITS region sequences from isolates and different RFLP types were aligned with sequences obtained from BLAST searches (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST). Sequences were first aligned using Clustal X algorithm (Thompson *et al.*, 1997). Manual adjustment of alignments was done with the PHYDIT program version 3.2 (http://plasza.snu.ac.kr/~jchun/phydit/). Ambiguous regions of ITS regions in the alignments were excluded from further analyses. Parsimony analyses used the heuristic search option with simple addition sequences with MULPARS and TBR branch swapping. Gaps were treated as missing data. Branch stability was assessed by 1000 replicate parsimony bootstrap replications implemented with PAUP*4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002).

**Results**

**Cultural isolates and their identification**

A total of 730 isolates were obtained from bark beetles of which 418 isolates were from the mountain pine beetle and 312 from the pine engraver. We recognised nine different fungal taxa by morphological characterizations. All nine were isolated from the mountain pine beetle but only seven were isolated from the pine engraver (Table 1). Two fungi isolated on BMEA had characteristics of basidiomycetous decay fungi with clamp-connections. 1.7% and 1% of all isolates consisted of decay fungi that were associated with the mountain pine beetle and the pine engraver, respectively. One of the decay fungi isolated from the mountain pine beetle was easily identified as *Heterobasidion annosum* (Fr.) Bref. due to its distinct morphology. It was characterised by the formation of diagnostic anamorph *Spiniger meineckellus* (Olson) Stalpers on media (Stalpers, 1974). The identification of *H. annosum* was confirmed by ITS region sequence analysis. The other decay fungus isolated from both bark beetles exhibited fewer morphological features in
Table 1. Fungal isolates recovered from mountain pine beetle and pine engraver from five lodgepole pine trees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungal isolates</th>
<th>GenBank accession no.</th>
<th>MPB</th>
<th>Pine engraver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ITS (LSU rDNA))</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hemiascomycetes (yeast)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pichia capsulata</td>
<td>AY761153 (AY761149)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pichia holstii</td>
<td>AY761154 (AY761150)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pichia scolyti</td>
<td>AY761155 (AY761151)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified yeast</td>
<td>AY761156 (AY761152)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filamentous Euascomycetes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceratocystis sp.</td>
<td>AY761157</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophiostoma clavigerum</td>
<td>AY761158</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophiostoma montium</td>
<td>AY761159</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basidiomycota</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fomitopsis pinicola</td>
<td>AY761160</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterobasidion annosum</td>
<td>AY761161</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total isolates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Denotes the sample trees where the beetles collected from.

culture, therefore, it was impossible to identify it to the species level. However, through the sequence BLAST search, the ITS sequence had 100% similarity with *Fomitopsis pinicola* (Swartz : Fries) P.Karsten.

Three sapstaining fungi were isolated and they represented 23.9% and 10.6% of the total isolates from the mountain pine beetle and the pine engraver, respectively (Table 1). The most common species was *Ophiostoma montium*. The other sapstaining fungus matched the characteristic of *O. clavigerum* which had long clavate spores (12.5-85 µm) and long synnematous conidiophores (500-1150 µm) with broom shaped tips (Upadhyay, 1981). Fifteen isolates of *O. clavigerum* were detected from the mountain pine beetle but only one isolate was detected from the pine engraver. The identification of *O. montium* and *O. clavigerum* was confirmed by ITS sequences analyses. The third sapstaining fungus, isolated less frequently, had morphological features of *Ceratocystis sp.* However, due to the scarcity of ITS region sequences of this genus in GenBank, the ITS 2 sequence matched the sequence of *Ceratocystis ranaculosa* Perry & Bridges with 95% similarity.

Five hundred and eighty seven yeast isolates were divided into four different groups based on morphological features such as colony-colour, colony-shape, spore-shape, and spore-size. Due to limited morphological characteristics and similarity with other yeast species, we were unable to identify them. The nucleotide D1/D2 domains of the LSU rDNA region have been sequenced for all currently recognised ascomycetous yeasts. Most, but not all, ascomycetous yeast can be identified from their partial LSU rDNA region.
Therefore, we chose to identify the yeasts in our work based on their LSU rDNA sequence similarity to the yeasts of the GenBank. The LSU sequences derived from four representative yeast isolates matched those of \textit{Pichia} species with over 99\% sequence similarity. Among them, three yeasts were identified as \textit{P. capsulata}, \textit{P. holstii}, and \textit{P. scolyti}. They constituted 74.4\% and 88.5\% of total isolates from the mountain pine beetle and the pine engraver. \textit{Pichia holstii} and \textit{P. scolyti} were the most commonly isolated yeasts from both bark beetles. \textit{Pichia capsulata} was found only in mountain pine beetle. The LSU sequences of less common unidentified yeast matched that of \textit{Candida} sp. (AY242329) with 99.13\% similarity. This yeast was isolated from both beetles (Table 1).

\textbf{Molecular results and phylogeny}

Genomic DNA was successfully isolated from the surface of two bark beetle species. The amplified PCR products of the ITS region were size fractionated by agarose gel electrophoresis. Their sizes ranged from 400 to 800 bp. From clone libraries, a total of 250 ITS clones (125 from the mountain pine beetle and 125 from the pine engraver) were analyzed. RFLP analysis using \textit{HaeIII} and \textit{RsaI} divided these clones into 26 RFLP types. Each RFLP type was represented by 1 to 64 ITS clones (Table 2). Among them, 15 RFLP types were identified as being present in the mountain pine beetle and 14 were present in the pine engraver (Table 2). Similarly there was no difference among fungi from the pine engraver taken from the five different trees. However, there were differences between the mountain pine beetle and the pine engraver fungi across all five trees. Of the 26 RFLP types, only three types were found in both beetles (Table 2). BLAST search results and phylogenetic analysis revealed that the 18 RFLP types (69.2\%) had closest match to Saccharomycetaceous yeasts. Most predominant type BAF 1 was found in both bark beetle clone libraries. The next most common types, BAF 9 and BAF22, were present in mountain pine beetle, while BAF6, BAF9, and BAF12 were present in pine engraver. Other RFLP types were less frequent; 5 types (19.2\%) clustered with the sequences of filamentous \textit{Euascomycetes} and 3 types (11.5\%) with those of \textit{Basidiomycota}. Only five RFLP types matched to the isolates (Fig. 1); BAF1 matched with \textit{P. scolyti}, BAF3 with \textit{P. capsulata}, BAF9 with \textit{P. holstii}, BAF10 with unidentified yeast, and BAF17 with \textit{Ceratocystiopsis} sp.

Phylogenetic analysis was carried out using only ITS region sequences. The alignment included sequences from 9 isolates and 26 RFLP types, along with other sequences from GenBank. Alignment was accomplished by inserting gaps, which resulted in a total of 989 characters. 316 ambiguous alignment characters were excluded from the data set. 673 characters were used in the
Table 2. Number of clones of each RFLP type obtained from both bark beetles and closest matches from FASTA searches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RFLP type</th>
<th>Acc. No</th>
<th>Clone No and source</th>
<th>ITS size</th>
<th>RFLP pattern</th>
<th>Closest match in BLAST</th>
<th>Identity [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemiascomycetes (yeast)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF1</td>
<td>AY761155</td>
<td>64 All T4</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>13,79,149,411</td>
<td>Pichia scolyti AB054111</td>
<td>552/566 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF2</td>
<td>AY761162</td>
<td>5 T2,T5</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>13,149,454</td>
<td>Pichia scolyti AB054111</td>
<td>355/369 (96%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF3</td>
<td>AY761153</td>
<td>2 T2</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>13,149,484</td>
<td>Pichia scolyti AB054111</td>
<td>369/390 (94%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF4</td>
<td>AY761163</td>
<td>3 T1</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>13,79,150,408</td>
<td>Candida sp. AY599447</td>
<td>543/600 (90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF5</td>
<td>AY761164</td>
<td>2 T2</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>13,79,150,412</td>
<td>Candida atlantica AJ59368</td>
<td>362/382 (94%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF6</td>
<td>AY761165</td>
<td>40 T1,T2,T3</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>79,161,418</td>
<td>Pichia mexicana AB054110</td>
<td>560/574 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF7</td>
<td>AY761166</td>
<td>1 T4</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>79,502</td>
<td>Pichia scolyti AB054111</td>
<td>357/361 (98%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF8</td>
<td>AY761167</td>
<td>1 T2</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>79,443</td>
<td>Pichia scolyti AB054111</td>
<td>345/359 (96%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF9</td>
<td>AY761154</td>
<td>24 All T1,T2,T4,T5</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>82,575</td>
<td>Candida ernobii AY585212</td>
<td>540/545 (99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF10</td>
<td>AY761156</td>
<td>2 T3</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>84,598</td>
<td>Candida ernobii AY585212</td>
<td>407/448 (90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF11</td>
<td>AY761168</td>
<td>1 T5</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>79,580</td>
<td>Candida ernobii AY585212</td>
<td>379/395 (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF12</td>
<td>AY761169</td>
<td>23 T1,T3,T4,T5</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>178,481</td>
<td>Lacazia loboi AF035675</td>
<td>179/187 (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF13</td>
<td>AY761170</td>
<td>1 T4</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>173,486</td>
<td>Pichia guilliermondii AF455495</td>
<td>170/175 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF14</td>
<td>AY761171</td>
<td>3 T5</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>Kluyveromyces thermotolerans AYO46207</td>
<td>172/177 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF15</td>
<td>AY761172</td>
<td>5 T1</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>Saccharomyces crataegensis AF410061</td>
<td>452/546 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF16</td>
<td>AY761173</td>
<td>2 T4,T5</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>248,520</td>
<td>Pichia guilliermondii AF455495</td>
<td>179/183 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF22</td>
<td>AY761174</td>
<td>12 T2,T3,T4</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>66,66,272</td>
<td>Clavispora lusitaniae AY321475</td>
<td>320/374 (86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF24</td>
<td>AY761175</td>
<td>1 T2</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>Candida petrohuensis AY585213</td>
<td>111/119 (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filamentous Euascomycetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF17</td>
<td>AY761157</td>
<td>2 T1,T4</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>12,42,57,104, 692</td>
<td>Ceratocystis ranaculosa AY542504</td>
<td>396/416 (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF18</td>
<td>AY761176</td>
<td>2 T2,T3</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>Cladosporium tenuissimum AF393724</td>
<td>542/543 (99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF19</td>
<td>AY761177</td>
<td>3 T3</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>89,102,249,298, 613</td>
<td>Sepedonium boletiphagum AF057657</td>
<td>511/572 (87%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF20</td>
<td>AY761178</td>
<td>1 T4</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>6,6,5,3,93,349</td>
<td>Penicillium brevicompactum AY373898</td>
<td>559/560 (99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF21</td>
<td>AY761179</td>
<td>1 T5</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>31,122,431</td>
<td>Leaf litter ascomycete AF507245</td>
<td>444/458 (96%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF23</td>
<td>AY761180</td>
<td>2 T4</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>41,41,46,473, 80,145,436</td>
<td>Entomocorticium dendroctoni AF119506</td>
<td>544/548 (99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF25</td>
<td>AY761181</td>
<td>3 T1</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>Rhodorotorula lamellibrachiae AB025999</td>
<td>548/574 (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF26</td>
<td>AY761182</td>
<td>2 T4,T5</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>104,474</td>
<td>Tsuchiyaea wingfieldii AF444327</td>
<td>525/537 (97%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 MPB, mountain pine beetle and PE, pine engrave.
2 The entire sequence information comprising ITS 1 region, the 5.8S rDNA, the ITS 2 region and the 5' end of the 28S rDNA was used for BLAST searches. The names listed were taken from the respective database accessions and do not necessarily reflect current use.
3 Identity [%] was represented by matched nucleotide / compared nucleotide. Accessions and identity in bold type are used in tree construction.
final analysis which included 220 parsimony-uninformative characters and 453 informative characters. Due to limited ITS sequence data within the database the closest matching of many RFLP types could not be established. The level of sequence divergence between the closest clone sequences was below 97% sequence similarity. Parsimony analysis yielded 4 most parsimonious trees (MPTs: length 2131, consistency index [CI] 0.4894; retention index [RI] 0.7724). One of those trees is shown in Fig. 1. The phylogenetic analysis placed the fungi in three major groups representing two groups of Ascomycota (Euascomycetes and Hemiascomycetes) and one of Basidiomycota. These groups were separated by strongly supported branches (Bootstrap value, 94%) (Fig. 1). The majority of RFLP types and yeast isolates were confirmed to be Saccharomycetaceous yeasts falling in the Hemiascomycete group with a 94% parsimony bootstrap support. The yeast group consisted of a total 18 RFLP types with high similarity to ITS sequences of Pichia species in the database. Five RFLP types were placed in filamentous Euascomycetes. The filamentous fungi including sapstaining ones appeared to be associated with the pine engraver. The Basidiomycota clade included two isolates and three RFLP types. Fomitopsis pinicola, H. annosum, and BAF23 were grouped into the Homobasidiomycetes with high bootstrapping support (94%). BAF23 matched Entomocorticium dendroconi Whitney with 99.65%. BAF26 was most closely related to a member of Tremellales in Heterobasidiomycetes and BAF25 was matched to Rhodotorula lamellibrachiae which is classified in the Urediniomycetes.

Discussion

The culture and DNA methodology used in this work have complementary strengths. Yeasts and non-staining filamentous Euascomycetes were, most efficiently, detected by the molecular methods, while the major sapstaining fungi and decay fungi were more frequently detected using the culture methods. Similar fungal communities were found on mountain pine beetle and pine engraver using the cultural method, while different fungal species were detected by the DNA approach.

Previously, fungal surveys from bark beetles have focused mainly on staining fungi, because they cause important economic losses to the forest product industry (Uzunovic et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2003; Six and Bentz, 2003). Other fungi that are also typically associated with mountain pine beetle and pine engraver and do not discolour sapwood, have been systematically overlooked. The finding that 76.9% of cloned DNAs was yeast, indicated that yeast species are commonly associated with mountain pine beetle and pine engraver and also suggested that they play an important ecological role.
The sequence similarities of the ITS and LSU rDNA regions are broadly used and are considered to be an excellent basis for studying fungal diversity in ecological investigations (Smit et al., 1999; Horton and Bruns, 2001; Buchan et al., 2002; Allen et al., 2003). A major impediment in such work is a lack of reference ITS sequence information in the public databases. Due to the scarcity of Saccharomycetaceous yeast ITS region sequences, many of the clones sequenced in the current work clustered with other ascomycetous sequences and matched database sequences over a very small length of the sequence or with low similarity.

Our phylogenetic analysis showed that at least five different genera of yeasts were associated with bark beetles. Three genera, *Pichia*, *Clavispora* and *Saccharomycopsis*, are included in Saccharomycetaceous yeasts, and two genera, *Rhodotorula* and *Cryptococcus*, are classified with basidiomycetous yeasts. *Pichia*, *Clavispora* and *Rhodotorula* were detected on mountain pine beetle, while *Pichia*, *Saccharomycopsis* and *Cryptococcus* were found on pine engraver. *Pichia* species are commonly associated with eggs, larvae, pupae, and adult bark beetles, and are also frequently isolated from the walls of beetle galleries and pupal chambers, as well as from xylem tissues (Robinson, 1962). *Pichia pini*, *P. capsulata* and *P. holstii* have been reported from mountain pine beetle (Grosmann, 1930; Robinson, 1962; Kurtzman and Fell, 1998). We detected 18 RFLP types of *Pichia* even though only two restriction enzymes were used on the ITS PCR products. In contrast to previous reports, we did not find *P. pini* (Rumbold, 1941; Robinson, 1962); instead, *P. scolyti* was commonly detected among the twelve *Pichia* species from mountain pine beetle and the eight *Pichia* species from the pine engraver. Other common isolates, that were found only on the mountain pine beetle, clustered with *Clavispora* species. This group is basal to the other Saccharomycetaceous yeasts. Although species of *Clavispora* have been reported from flies and moths, they have not been reported on mountain pine beetle and pine engraver (Kurtzman and Fell, 1998).

Our results, from the cultural approach, were generally consistent with previous results. Only a few species of sapstaining fungi have been found on mountain pine beetle. *Ophiostoma clavigerum* and *O. montium* have been known to be closely associated with the mountain pine beetle throughout its life cycle in lodgepole pine (Rumbold, 1941; Robinson, 1962), and were the most

---

**Fig. 1.** One of the most parsimonious trees comparing ITS sequences of the isolates and RFLP types from the surface of the mountain pine beetle (MPB) and the pine engraver (PE) to reference sequences. Bootstrap support values are indicated (when greater than 70%) above corresponding branches. Isolates from cultural method are in shaded box. Taxa originating from the representative RFLP types are in bold. Square and circle indicate that fungi were found from mountain pine beetle and pine engraver, respectively.
prevalent isolates in this work. These two fungi are known to be the primary invaders of fresh sapwood (Whitney and Farris, 1970; Solheim, 1995). A Ceratocystiopsis sp. with similar morphological features to the genus Ophiostoma was present in lower number. However, its DNA sequence as well as its morphology could not be matched to known Ceratocystiopsis species (Zhou et al., 2004), suggesting that this isolate might be a new species. Because little is known about fungi associated with pine engraver, we were unable to compare our results with previous observations. In this work, however, the same sapstaining fungi (Ceratocystiopsis sp. and O. montium) and yeasts (Pichia holstii, P. scolyti, and unidentified yeast) were isolated from both the mountain pine beetle and the pine engraver that were infesting the same host tree.

While most associations among bark beetles and fungi involve ascomycetes, a few associations with basidiomycetes have been characterised (Castello et al., 1976; Alexander et al., 1980; Whitney et al., 1987; Garcia and Morrell, 1999). Three basidiomycetous fungi, Entomocorticium dendroctoni, Fomitopsis pinicola and Heterobasidion annosum, were detected in this work. Entomocorticium species appear to be the most common and widespread basidiomycetous associates of bark beetles (Tsuneda et al., 1993). Some evidence suggests that E. dendroctoni may contribute nutritionally to the mountain pine beetle (Whitney et al., 1987). The white pocket rot fungus, H. annosum, has been associated with bark and wood-boring beetles (Alexander et al., 1980; Garcia and Morrell, 1999). The brown rot fungus, F. pinicola, has also been found in Douglas-fir beetles (Dendroctonus pseudotsugae Hopkins) that were trapped in flight or were removed from galleries in Douglas-fir (Castello et al., 1976). Our results support the hypothesis of Castello et al. (1976) that mycelium fragments of decay fungi can be trapped in the intersegmental areas of beetles bodies. While there are also many examples of decay fungi that are dispersed by beetles (Nuss, 1982; Thomsen and Koch, 1999), the importance of mountain pine beetle and pine engraver in disseminating decay fungi need to be determined.

Except for Ceratocystiopsis sp. the DNA method did not detect decay and sapstaining fungi. Our inability to detect certain species may have resulted from several factors including low proportion of associated fungi, DNA extraction bias, PCR drift and the GC ratio. The low density of decay fungi in the overall microflora may have inhibited their detection. In culture, the presence of benomyl favors decay fungi and reduces competitive microflora. Although the bead beating DNA extraction method has been used to study fungal communities, differences in cell wall composition between different fungal types (sapstaining fungi contain cellulose in addition to chitin) might
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affect cell lysis (Jewell, 1974; Yeates and Gillings, 1998; Kresk and Wellington, 1999; Griffiths et al., 2000). To minimise PCR drift by decreasing PCR bias, we pooled 6 PCR amplicons (Polz and Cavanaugh, 1998). The GC ratio of the amplified DNA region might also affect PCR amplification. The GC ratios from the total ITS region of *O. clavigerum* and *O. montium* were high at 63.7% and 59.4%, respectively. *Ophiostoma clavigerum* and related *Leptographium* species are known to have high GC ratios, especially in their ITS 1 region, and so only the ITS2 region and part of the LSU region have been used in the molecular phylogeny of these fungi (Hausner et al., 2000; Jacobs et al., 2001; Lim et al., 2004). In contrast to the sapstaining fungi, the other fungi found in this work had low GC ratios. The GC ratio of Saccharomycetaceous yeasts was fairly low, ranging from 36.8 to 44.1%. This suggested that the high GC ratio of the sapstaining fungi might have a negative effect on PCR amplification, affecting their detection by the molecular method.

Despite the biases and limitations of the molecular method, a more diverse fungal community was found using DNA analyses than using cultures, and our results showed that the cultural approach alone is not sufficient for determining fungal diversity.
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